PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	10/810,301		
TRANSMITTAL FORM	Filing Date	March 25, 2004		
	First Named Inventor	Andrew Chang, et al.		
	Art Unit	2666		
	Eveniena Name	Chiele C. Hom		

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Shick C. Hom					
Total Number of Pages in This		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			03-CNT	フ		
		ENCLO	SURES (check all tha	t apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Reply		Petition				communication to TC otice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprieta	ry Information		
Affidavits/declaration(	s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter			
Extension of Time Reque	st	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Ro	equest	Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)			Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary (Supplemental); return postcard			
Information Disclosure St	atement	Landscape Table on CD						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks					٦	
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Reply to Missing Par under 37 CFR1.52 or								
	SIG	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTOI	RNEY, OI	R AGENT			
Firm		THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP						
Signature	Signature //w////							
Printed Name	Marc S. Hanish							
Date May 24, 2005				Reg. No.	42,626			
		CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	LING		$\overline{}$	
I hereby certify that this corn Service with sufficient posta Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 c	ige as fir	st dass mail i	in an envelope addres					
Signature	Sh	havon à Bya						
Typed or printed name	. Byam	′		Date May 24, 2005				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary (Supplemental)

Application No.: <u>10/810,301</u> F	irst Named Applicant: Andre	ew Chang, et a	<u>al.</u>						
Examiner: Shick C. Hom Art Unit: 2666 Status of Application: Pending									
Participants: (1) Examiner Shick C. Hom (2) Marc S. Hanish, Reg. No. 42,626									
(3)	(4)								
Date of Interview: May 24, 2005 Time: 11:00 a.m.									
Type of Interview: (a) [X] Telephonic	(b) [ ] Personal	(c) [] Video Conference							
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [X] NO  If yes, provide brief description:									
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed					
(1) <u>Claims 1-20</u> (2) (3) (4)		[X] [] []	[X] [] []	[] [] [] ·[]					
[] Continuation Sheet Attached	[] Copy of Draft Amendm	nent (attached)	)						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:									
Applicant pointed out that in addition to the errors discussed in the prior interview, the Office Action further failed to consider element (c) of Claim 1. The Examiner indicated a new Office Action with a reset due date will be forthcoming.									
Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record  A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.									
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed be the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)									
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (FOUND-0003-CNT)									